

Missouri Department of Higher Education

Building Missouris future...
Vy degrees.



State Student Financial Aid Committee

July 27, 2018

Agenda



- Welcome/Introductions
- Guiding Principles
- Survey Follow-up
 - Clarifying Responses
 - Identify Additional Ideas
 - Prioritize Responses
- Connecting Responses to Policy Framework
- Wrap-up/Next Steps



GUIDING PRINCIPLES



PRINCIPLE 1: STUDENT CENTERED

Financial aid programs should be student centered:

Aid programs designed around students and their needs set students up for successful outcomes.



PRINCIPLE 2: GOAL DRIVEN, DATA INFORMED

Financial aid programs should be goal driven and data informed:

Aid programs should have a clearly defined and easily understood intent aligned with measurable state education and workforce goals.



PRINCIPLE 3: TIMELY AND FLEXIBLE

Financial aid programs should be timely and flexible:

Aid programs should provide financial support to students when it can have the greatest impact on enrollment and persistence decisions.



PRINCIPLE 4: BROADLY INCLUSIVE

Financial aid programs should be broadly inclusive of all students' educational pathways: Aid programs need to respond to the diverse enrollment options available to students.



SURVEY FOLLOW-UP

Barriers to Access -Underserved



Attendance

- X Full-time requirement
- ✓ Required immediately following H.S. graduation
- X Continuous enrollment

Program Inflexibility

- X No professional judgment
- ✓ No year round aid
- Program unresponsive
- Stop outs difficult to reenter
- Transfer from credit to clock hours
- Deadlines
- Delayed awards/notice
- Too many applications

Barriers to Access - Underserved



Personal Issues

- Housing/Transportation
- College readiness
- Unfamiliar with campus/college life

Marketing

- Low program visibility (Access)
- Timely, actionable information
- Workload of H.S. counselors
- Underuse of community resources





Enrollment Breaks

- Outstanding balances
- Prior GPA problems
- Maximum timeframes (prior hours)

College Readiness

- Developmental Requirements
- Basic technology skill deficit
- Academic planning
- Time required to apply (admission and FA)





Program Structure

- Full-time enrollment
- Deadlines
- Static GPA requirement
- Timing of GPA/SAP checks
- Award timing
- Unable to assess merit eligibility

Marketing

- Low program visibility (Access)
- Understanding help is available

Personal

- Balancing life
- Persistence/completion

Need is a Priority



Responses

- Agreed upon definition of need
- Balance w/ merit
- Integrated need
 - Single program
 - Graduated awards
 - Incentives/rewards
- Address "indirect" costs in awards

Questions

- What level of priority is need?
- What is the right ratio/funding mix?

Aware of Other Aid



Responses

- Direct reduction of award
- Consider other sources in need calculations
 - Exclude loans
 - Stackability
- Avoid "double dipping"
- Coordinate/collaborate with institutional aid
- Comprehensive information

Questions

 Assuming multiple programs, should a student be limited to one program?

Broad-based Attributes



Responses

- Serving a variety of student groups
 - Targeted groups
 - No or limited age, other demographic restrictions
 - Collection of programs
- Serving students with a broad range of need
- Serving students regardless of institutional type (public, independent, virtual)
- Largest coverage/usage
- Largest impact (agreed upon criterion)
- Accessibility
 - Easy application
 - Keeping the door open longer

Questions

- Which type of "broad based" should be the focus?
- Are the types mutually exclusive?

Support Learning



Responses

- Scale award by hours enrolled/completed
- Bonus for exceeding FT
- Eliminate FT requirements
- Pro-rata awards (Pell payment table)
- Simplify/easily explained
 - Eligibility based on Title IV data
- Flexible award uses
- Transferable

Questions

 How do we balance simplicity with demanded accountability?





Responses

- Require mentorship participation
- Less restrictive timeframes
- Limit awards to major coursework
- Award consistency/funding adequacy
- Flexible enrollment requirements
- Guarantee renewal awards (entitlement)
- Reward progress milestones

Questions

- What timeframes are appropriate for part-time students?
- How do we balance flexibility with efforts to control costs, such as prompt completion?

Supporting Postsecondary Preparation



Responses

- Higher award levels for remedial coursework
- Early awareness
 - 529 connection
 - A+ type attributes
 - Early contact with potential recipients
 - Of awards
- Linkage to ACT scores
- Collaboration w/access organizations
- Academic merit components
- Guaranteed awards

Questions

Other Ideas



- Early awareness (promise) program w/ dedicated funding
- State 529 match
- Increase award amounts
- Encourage local attendance
- Early study/career information
- Incentives to not live on campus
- Funding for transportation
- Two years "tuition free" at public institutions





Attributes

- Responsive to funding (award priorities)
- 3 program approach:
 - Need
 - Merit
 - Work-study

Barriers

- Work-study administrative burdens
- Funding scarcity



CONNECTING SURVEY TO POLICY





Policy – Why offer aid?

- Increase access
- Encourage persistence
- Timely completion
- Address workforce needs
- H.S. engagement/ postsecondary readiness

Operational Attributes

Connection to Policy Framework



Policy –Who should be served

- "Traditional" students
- Low-income (need)
- Students with academic merit
- Ethnic/racial minorities
- Place-bound students
- At-risk students
- Irrelevant factors
 - Age
 - Prior education experience
 - Delivery method
 - Attendance Status

Operational Attributes

Wrap-up/Next Steps



- Follow up documents/Surveys
- Next Meeting
 - August 24, 3018
 - -10 to 3
 - State Information Center



Contact Information

205 Jefferson Street P.O. Box 1469

Jefferson City, MO 65102-1469

Phone: (573)751-3940

Toll-free: (800)473-6757

Fax: (573)751-6635

www.dhe.mo.gov

info@dhe.mo.gov